LB 660, 948

March 12, 1976

SENATOR MURPHY: Yes, I would ask for unanimous consent to withdraw that amendment. I just thought that the best way to be sure was to have two.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Do I hear any objections? If not the amendment is withdrawn. No further amendments. Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KEYES: Are...there are no further amendments? I move for the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Any discussion? If not the question is the advancement of 660 as amended. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay.

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. Next bill to read is LB948.

CLERK: Read LB948.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Chair recognizes Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: Gentlemen the intent of this bill, and it was presented to the committee by the Department of Banking relates to credit unions which are another financial institution in this state. Most of those employees who are participants in this union believe that like banks and savings and loans that their deposits are insured in a similar manner and they are not. This bill would permit the formation of a credit union insurance...a deposit insurance corporation similar to the FDIC or the SLIC so that those deposits would be jointly insured by participating member credit unions. The bill provides for the election of a Board of Directors, it provides for the establishment of a basic fund by an assessment of not in excess of one percent of their deposits and that their one percent can be accumulated over a three year period. It provides for supervision and examination. The act is voluntary the credit union will not be mandated to join. It will be regulated and they will be audited subject to admission by the Banking Department. If they sustain losses it is provided by assessment by the same basis of their original contribution that they will maintain that 1% contribution to this fund. Now, there are several amendments. This act was originally drafted from a similar act enforced in the State of Georgia and as normally is the case there are one or two corrective amendments. The first one relates to electing a Board of Directors, or maybe I had better let the Clerk enumerate the amendments.

CLERK: Read amendments.

SENATOR MURPHY: May I take them one at a time? This simply deletes the functional date, it has no bearing on the bill what-so-ever. It is excessive wordage that was inadvertently put into the bill. It simply strikes that December date.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Any further discussion? Are we going to take these one at a time?